

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLN.NO.1166 OF 2007

Pratap Bhanudas Tele ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

Mr.H.S.Venegavkar Advocate for Applicant
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh A.P.P. for the State

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.
DATE : APRIL 9, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant is seeking bail in C.R.No. 105 of 2006 of Sangola Police Station. The said case is mainly under Section 302 of IPC.

3. The learned A.P.P. on instructions from the I.O. Mr. Prashant Sampate, A.P.I. of Sangola Police Station who is present in Court, states that the trial is almost over i.e. the evidence of witnesses has been recorded and now the case is kept for recording statements of accused under

Section 313 of Cr.P.C. In this view of the matter, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant at this stage.

4. Application is rejected. However, in case the trial is not over within a period of one month from today, the liberty is granted to the applicant to prefer a fresh application for bail.

5. Application is disposed of.

[V.K.Tahilramani, J.]